Part I: s-t Cuts

An s-t cut in a graph G is a partition of the vertices in G into sets (A, B) such that $s \in A$ and $t \in B$. The *capacity* of an *s*-*t* cut (A, B), denoted c(A, B), is the sum of the capacities of all edges out of A:

$$c(A,B) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } A} c(e)$$

Here is a graph (numbers in boxes represent the flow along an edge, "unadorned" numbers represent the edge capacity):



1) Let $A = \{s, w, y\}, B = \{x, z, t\}$. What is the capacity of the cut?

We have defined the value of a flow f to be the total amount of flow leaving s:

$$v(f) = f^{\text{out}}(s) = \sum_{(s,u)} f(s,u)$$

2) In the above graph, what is the value of the flow leaving s?

The flow across a cut (A, B) is defined to be the net amount of flow leaving A; that is, the total amount of flow leaving A minus the total amount of flow entering A, or $f^{\text{out}}(A) - f^{\text{in}}(A)$.

3) Let $A = \{s, w, y\}, B = \{x, z, t\}$. What is the value of the flow across this cut?

4) Let $A = \{s, y, x\}, B = \{w, z, t\}$. What is the value of the flow across this cut?

5) What can you say, in general, about the value of a flow f with respect to the flow across any cut (A, B)? Why is this true?



(write an expression in this box that says something about v(f))

Make sure everyone in your group understands what is going on. At this point, ask me for the next page.



Part II: An Idea for a Cut

Claim 1. If f is any valid flow, and (A, B) is any s-t cut, then $v(f) \le c(A, B)$. 6) Prove this claim in five lines, starting with the expression you wrote in (\bigstar) .

We have now shown that *every* s-t cut gives an upper bound on the value of any valid flow. If we can show that Ford-Fulkerson returns a flow \hat{f} with value $v(\hat{f}) = c(A^*, B^*)$ for some cut (A^*, B^*) , then we will know that \hat{f} is a max flow.

Suppose we have a graph G and a flow f for which there is no s-t path in the residual graph G_f . Consider the following idea for a cut: Let A^* be the set of nodes v that are reachable from s in the residual graph, and let $B^* = V - A^*$).

7) How do we know that (A^*, B^*) is in fact an *s*-*t* cut?

8) Suppose edge e = (u, v) has $u \in A^*$ and $v \in B^*$. What *must* be the value of f(e)? Why?

9) Suppose edge e = (u, v) has $u \in B^*$ and $v \in A^*$. What *must* be the value of f(e)? Why?

10) Now use (\bigstar) to show in four lines that $v(f) = c(A^*, B^*)$.

At this point, ask me for the next page.

Part III: Proving Ford-Fulkerson Finds a Max Flow

It's time to put it all together and prove that Ford-Fulkerson successfully computes a max flow.

11) When does Ford-Fulkerson terminate? In answering this, review what Ford-Fulkerson does, and make sure everyone in your group understands what the algorithm is doing.

12) Consider the cut (A^*, B^*) as defined in Part II. What must be true about the value of the flow produced by Ford-Fulkerson, \hat{f} , with respect to (A^*, B^*) ?

13) So why is \hat{f} a max flow?

What we have actually shown here is the following key theorem:

Theorem 1. [*Max-Flow Min-Cut*] In every flow network, the maximum value of an s-t flow is equal to the minimum capacity of an s-t cut.

This is important because when we solve applied problems, sometimes we are looking for a max flow and sometimes we are looking for a min cut. The Max-Flow Min-Cut Theorem tells us that these always have the same value.

14) Suppose we have computed a max s-t flow f using Ford-Fulkerson. How can we compute a min s-t cut in time O(m), where m is the number of edges in our graph?